



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/901,528	07/09/2001	Ryusuke Yamaguchi	01407/LH	7097	
1933	1933 7590 02/25/2004		EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE			TRAN, N	TRAN, NHAN T	
25TH FLOO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			2615	ſ	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	, 6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Esterations of their mely be semilate under the provision of 37 CPR 1.138(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Esterations of term phy specified above is less than thiny (30) days, as reply within the statutory minument of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will explore (50) (MONTHS from the realing) date of this communication. Failure to reply which he set or extender for many will substance, dauge the spotiation to become ABANDONED (30 U.S.C. § 135). Responsive to communication(s) filled on 11 December 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is no condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7.9.15-17 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application for the International Bureau (PCT Rule 172 (a)) *							
Examiner Nhan T. Tran 2615		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Nan T. Tran		09/901,528	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.				
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estamons of them may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1-136(a). In an event, however, may a repty be timely filed them may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1-136(a). In an event, however, may a repty be timely filed them to the period for repty specified above a less has hirty (30) days, a reply within the statistion primitimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for repty specified above a less has hirty (30) days, a reply within the statistion primitimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for repty specified above a less has hirty (30) days, a reply within the statistion primitimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for repty specified above a less has been been allowed to the period of the commendation of the second patient term ediplatiment. Sea 37 CFR 1-704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are rejected. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) Ap	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of intermity is available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raphy be timely filled - Extensions of intermity is available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raphy be timely filled - Extensions of intermity is available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a raphy be timely filled - Extensions of intermity is available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a raphy be timely filled. - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply careful by the Six filled than three mornisms after the mailing date of this communication, event 1 smelly filled, may record any arranged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). - Status - If NO period for a play a specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, event 1 smelly filled, may record any arranged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). - Status - If NO period for a specified above, the maximum status and the mailing date of this communication, event 1 smelly filled, may record any arranged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). - Statusment term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). - Stat							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercision of tom may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX. (b) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. The provision of the provision	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7.9.15-17 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rula 17 2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7.9.15-17 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7,9.15-17 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De	ecember 2003.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7.9.15-17 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some collar for the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A) Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7.9.15-17 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3.8.10-14.18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ . 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7.9.15-17 and 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ . 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims	·					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on ②9 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,8,10-14,18 and 20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7,9,15-17 and 19 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,8,10-14,18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	dare withdrawn from consideration	on.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate				

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 4 - 7, 9, 15 - 17 and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37
 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 11, 12 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takashima et al (US 5,596,366).

Regarding claim 1, Takashima discloses a portable image pickup apparatus (a video camera) comprising:

an apparatus proper that comprises a camera unit (12), a lens for the camera unit (14), and a band attached to a band attaching unit of the apparatus proper on a user's body (see Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 2615

an attachment (46) attachable to the apparatus proper so as to avoid the band-attaching unit, the attachment having a light transmission part that covers at least said lens (see Fig. 1 and col. 6, lines 19-25).

Regarding claim 8, the transmission part of the attachment has a special image effect pickup function (image blur correction) for producing a special image pickup effect in image pickup (see col. 6, lines 19-34).

Regarding claim 11, Takashima also shows that the apparatus proper comprises a pair of band attaching units each provided at a respective one of ends thereof for attaching a wristband thereto (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 12, see the analysis in claim 1.

Regarding claim 18, see the analysis in claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2615

3. Claims 1-3, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakai et al (US Des. 427,918) in view of Hanada Yoshimasa (JP 10-192020)

Regarding claim 1, Nakai discloses a portable image pickup apparatus (watch case with electronic still camera) comprising an apparatus proper that comprises a camera unit, a lens for the camera, a band-attaching unit for attaching a band (see Figs. 1 - 3 and description, wherein the lens and band-attaching unit are inherent as shown).

Nakai does not show a band attached to the band-attaching unit of the watch case and an attachment attachable to the apparatus proper so as to avoid band-attaching unit wherein the attachment having a light transmission part that covers at least the lens. Hanada teaches a watch having a band attached to its attaching unit (Fig. 1). Also taught by Hanada is an attachment (1) made of transparent material for attaching personal belongings including a watch so that the elements covered by the attachment can be visible to the user (see abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attach a band to the attaching unit of the watch case in Nakai for a user to wear on his body in a well known, conventional way, and further provide an transparent attachment without interfering with the band-attaching unit of the watch case to protect the camera lens from dirt or scratch.

Regarding claim 2, Hanada also shows a hook (1c) to engage with an outer surface of the apparatus being attached (see Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim 3, it is clear that the hook (1c) is integral with the attachment as shown in Fig. 1 in Hanada.

Regarding claims 12 - 14, see the analysis in claims 1 - 3, respectively.

4. Claims 10 & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakai et al and Hanada as applied to claim 1 and in further view of Kita Kazunori (JP 62-009289).

Regarding claim 10, apparently shown in Nakai, the watch includes a display (Fig. 2). Hanada shows the attachment having the shape such that the transparent portions 1A and 1B align with the display unit and the lens unit in Nakai, respectively.

Although Nakai is silent about the display for displaying a picked-up image, Kita teaches an electronic wrist watch with camera having a display (2) for displaying a captured image (see Abstract and Fig. 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to enable the display in Nakai to display an image captured by the camera unit for the user to view the captured image.

Regarding claim 20, see the analysis in claim 10.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2615

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 605-4246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew B Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NT.

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600